

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,078	08/19/2003	Isao Nakazawa	122.1563	3056
21171	7590 06/01/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2617	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .						
د نم		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/643,078	NAKAZAWA ET AL.			
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Q. Nguyen	2681			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya dition is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,078

Art Unit: 2681

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim structure does not follow USPTO standard format. The claim is unclear.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is not clear.

What is based on nonlinear distortion influenced by all wireless lines in the area?

What is expressed by an intercept point input level (IIP)?

Does the line quality characteristic evaluation system for a wireless communication line comprise a reception equivalent band limitation expressed by attenuation of the intercept point input level (IIP)?

Does the line quality characteristic evaluation system for a wireless communication line comprise reception side thermal noise of the wireless communication lines, and leakage power from other interfering wireless lines leaking into the reception equivalent band?

Claims 2-4 depend on claim 1. Therefore, they are rejected.

Art Unit: 2681

3. Claim 1 is suggested to rewrite as understood by Examiner as follow:

A line quality characteristic evaluation system for a wireless communication line comprising:

a line quality estimating means estimating a line quality of the wireless communication line under nonlinear interference wireless lines in an area in which wireless lines for wireless communication by digital signals sharing space and other wireless lines interfering with the wireless lines are present together,

wherein said estimating the line quality of the wireless communication line is based on nonlinear distortion influenced by all wireless lines in the area, said nonlinear distortion is expressed by an intercept point input level (IIP),

wherein said estimating the line quality of the wireless communication line is based on a reception equivalent band limitation expressed by attenuation of the intercept point input level (IIP),

wherein said estimating the line quality of the wireless communication line is based on reception side thermal noise of the wireless communication lines,

and wherein said estimating the line quality of the wireless communication line is based on said leakage power from other interfering wireless lines leaking into the reception equivalent band.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended as Examiner's suggestion above to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

JT 5/26/06

Art Unit: 2681

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH H. FEILD can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Nguyen

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER